

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I thank Allah for giving me strength and ability to complete this study.

I am sincerely grateful to Associate Professor Dr. Kamaruzaman Sijam, the Chairman of the supervisory committee, The Honorable Dato' Dr Mohd Musa Md Jamil, Ex-Director General of Malaysian Cocoa Board, Associate Professor Dr. Zainal Abidin Meor Ahmad of the Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture and Dr. Hj. Nik Masdek Nik Hassan of MARDI as members of the supervisory committee, for their guidances, understanding and invaluable advices throughout the duration of this study and the preparation of this thesis.

I also wish to thank the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI) for funding the project through the IRPA Research Grant and The Honorable Dato' Dr. Azhar Ismail, Director General of Malaysian Cocoa Board for the support and constant encouragement throughout the planning of research strength in Malaysian Cocoa Board.

My sincere appreciation also go to Mr Yahya Mohd Noor, Research Assistant for his kind assistance and cooperation in conducting the research. Thanks are also extended to Mr.

Mohd Yusoff Abd. Samad, Senior Research Officer for his guidance on data analysis.

I am greatly indebted and appreciate very much to my beloved wife, Siti Fatimah Jusoh for her encouragement, support and sacrifices through out the study. To my son, Ahmad Faiz and daughter, Anis Mariam, for they have inspired me in their own ways to finish my thesis. To all my dearest brothers and sisters, a big thank you for their support and encouragement and for putting colours in my life , may Allah bless you all.

Last but not least, I wish to express my sincere thanks to all those who have one way or another helped me in making this study a success.

Abstract of thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra
Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of
Master of Agricultural Science

**ANTAGONISTIC ACTIVITIES OF EPIPHYTIC BACTERIA
ON BLACK POD DISEASE OF COCOA**

By

AHMAD KAMIL HJ. MOHD JAAFFAR

OCTOBER 2004

**Chairman : Associate Professor Kamaruzaman
Sijam, Ph.D.**

Faculty : Agriculture

A study was conducted to determine the antagonistic activity of epiphytic bacteria isolated from cocoa pod surface on *Phytophthora palmivora* (Butler) Butler, the causal agent of black pod disease of cocoa. Out of 233 isolates of epiphytic bacteria obtained from non symptomatic and diseased cocoa pods, only 8 were found to have antagonistic activities against the fungal pathogen when screened by the dual-culture method. Three isolates, (LKM/B/1, LKM/B/35, LKM/B/76c) were identified as *Pseudomonas putida* Biotype A, 2 isolates (LKM/B/5 and LKM/B/62b) were identified as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and one isolate each was identified as *Pseudomonas spinosa* (LKM/B/2), *Burkholderia gladioli* (LKM/B/4) and *Burkholderia* sp (LKM/B/6). Identification of the epiphytic bacteria was done using

the BIOLOG[®] Identification System. Percentage inhibition of the radial growth (PIRG) of *P. palmivora* by the epiphytic bacteria ranged from 66.0% to 82.1%. All isolates of epiphytic bacteria showed optimum antagonistic activities at 30⁰ C. pH has no influence on the antagonistic activities of the epiphytic bacteria.

Detached pod studies showed that pods treated with the epiphytic bacteria retarded the growth of black pod lesion up to 12 days after inoculation.

Isolate *Burkholderia gladioli* (LKM/B/4), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (LKM/B/5) and *Pseudomonas putida* Biotype A (LKM/B/76c) produced volatile substances that affect the growth of *P. palmivora*, while isolates LKM/B/1 and LKM/B/35 of *Pseudomonas putida* Biotype A and isolate LKM/B62b of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* did not show the production of volatile substances. Isolate LKM/B/76c also produced diffusible metabolites that could significantly inhibit the growth of *P. palmivora* compared to other epiphytic bacteria especially isolate LKM/B/35 which showed good antagonistic through dual culture method.

Microscopic observations of *P. palmivora* at the periphery of the inhibition zone indicated that all isolates of epiphytic bacteria inhibited *P. palmivora* by the process of cell wall degradation and growth retardation.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti
Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah
Master Sains Pertanian

**AKTIVITI ANTAGONISTIK OLEH BAKTERIA EPIFIT KE
ATAS PENYAKIT BUAH HITAM KOKO**

Oleh

AHMAD KAMIL HJ. MOHD JAAFFAR

OKTOBER 2004

**Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Kamaruzaman Sijam,
Ph.D.**

Fakulti : Pertanian

Kajian ini di lakukan untuk mengenalpasti aktiviti antagonistik oleh bakteria epifit yang di pencilkan daripada permukaan buah koko ke atas kulat *Phytophthora palmivora* (Butler) Butler, agen penyebab penyakit buah hitam. Daripada 233 pencilan bakteria epifit yang di dapati, hanya 8 pencilan sahaja yang menunjukkan aktiviti antagonistik terhadap kulat penyebab penyakit melalui kaedah penyaringan 'dual-culture'. Tiga daripada pencilan iaitu LKM/B/1, LKM/B/35 dan LKM/B/76c telah dikenalpasti sebagai *Pseudomonas putida* Biotype A, dua pencilan iaitu LKM/B/5 dan LKM/B/62b di kenalpasti sebagai *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* dan setiap satu pencilan masing-masing di kenalpasti sebagai *Pseudomonas spinosa* (LKM/B/2), , *Burkholderia gladioli* (LKM/B/4) dan *Burkholderia* spp (LKM/B/6). Pengenalpastian

bakteria epifit dilakukan menggunakan kaedah pengenalpastian 'BIOLOG®'. Peratusan perencatan pada jejari pertumbuhan di kawasan perencatan adalah antara 66.0% hingga 82.1%. Kajian pada aktiviti antagonistik ke atas beberapa kesan suhu terhadap kesemua 8 isolat bakteria epifit menunjukkan terdapat interaksi antagonistik yang jelas di kawasan perencatan pada suhu 30°C. Kajian pada kesan pH menunjukkan bakteria epifit tersebut tidak mempengaruhi aktiviti antagonistik.

Kajian pada buah koko yang dirawat dengan bakteria epifit menunjukkan kesemua 8 pencilan bakteria epifit masing-masing merencatkan pertumbuhan lesion penyakit buah hitam berbanding dengan buah koko yang tidak dirawat dengan bakteria epifit selepas 12 hari inokulasi dilakukan. *Burkholderia gladioli* pencilan LKM/B/4, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pencilan LKM/B/5 dan *Pseudomonas putida* Biotype A pencilan LKM/B/76c mengeluarkan kesan bahan peruwapan yang memberi kesan terhadap pertumbuhan kulat *P. palmivora* manakala *Pseudomonas putida* Biotype A pencilan LKM/B/1 dan LKM/B/35 dan *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pencilan LKM/B/62b tidak menunjukkan kesan peruwapan yang ketara. Pencilan LKM/B/76c juga mengeluarkan bahan metabolit peresap yang boleh merencat pertumbuhan kulat tersebut dengan bererti

berbanding dengan pencilan bakteria epifit yang lain terutama pencilan LKM/B/35 yang mana telah menunjukkan kesan antagonistik yang baik melalui kaedah penyaringan 'dual-culture'.

Pemerhatian melalui mikroskop terhadap misilium kulat *P. palmivora* pada kawasan pertumbuhan yang aktif di zon perencatan menunjukkan kesemua 8 pencilan bakteria epifit merencat pertumbuhan kulat *P. palmivora* melalui proses penguraian dinding sel .

TABLES OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	2
ABSTRACT	3
ABSTRAK	6
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	9
APPROVAL	11
DECLARATION	13
LIST OF TABLES	17
LIST OF FIGURES	21
LIST OF PLATES	22
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	23
 CHAPTER 1	
INTRODUCTION	24
1.1 Background of the Malaysian cocoa industry	24
1.2 Black pod disease status	26
 CHAPTER 2	
LITERATURE REVIEW	31
2.1 Black pod disease management	31
2.1.1 : Cultural Control	32
2.1.2 : Chemical Control	33
2.1.3 : Use of resistant planting material	34
2.1.4 : Biological Control	35
2.2 Prospects of biological control of plant pathogens	36
2.3 Prospects of biological control by potential microorganisms with special reference to bacteria	37
2.4 Studies on biological control of <i>Phytophthora palmivora</i>	41
2.5 Mechanisms of antagonistic on biological control	44

CHAPTER 3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	46
	3.1 Cocoa pods sampling	46
	3.2 Isolation of the fungal pathogen	47
	3.3 Isolation of epiphytic bacteria from healthy and disease pods	47
	3.4 Screening of potential epiphytic bacteria	48
	3.5 Identification of epiphytic bacteria	50
	3.6 Effects of temperature and pH on antagonistic activity of the epiphytic bacteria with <i>P. palmivora</i>	51
	3.7 Study on the effect of the epiphytic bacteria on cocoa seedlings	52
	3.8 Effect of the epiphytic bacteria on <i>Phytophthora palmivora</i> on detached cocoa pods	53
	3.9 Antagonistic activities of epiphytic bacteria through the production of volatile substance (s)	55
	3.10 Effect of diffusible metabolites produced by epiphytic bacteria	56
	3.11 Microscopic observation of the interaction between epiphytic bacteria and <i>P. palmivora</i>	58
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	59
	4.1 Cocoa pods sampling	59
	4.2 Isolation of the fungal pathogen	59
	4.3 Isolation of epiphytic bacteria from healthy and disease pods	61

4.4	Screening of potential epiphytic bacteria	62
4.5	Identification of epiphytic bacteria	67
4.6	Effects of temperature and pH on antagonistic activity of the epiphytic bacteria with <i>P. palmivora</i>	69
4.7	Study on the effect of the epiphytic bacteria on cocoa seedlings	77
4.8	Effect of the epiphytic bacteria on <i>Phytophthora palmivora</i> on detached cocoa pods	79
4.9	Antagonistic activities of epiphytic bacteria through the production of volatile substance (s)	83
4.10	Effect of diffusible metabolites produced by epiphytic bacteria	85
4.11	Microscopic observation of the interaction between epiphytic bacteria and <i>P. palmivora</i>	86
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION	89
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	93
	APPENDICES	107
	Appendix A : The Substrate Maps of MicroPlate™	107
	Appendix B : Identification of Antagonistic Bacteria By Biolog Microstation™ Identification	109
	Appendix C : Characteristics of Epiphytic Bacteria	115
	Appendix D : Anova Tables	117
	BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	126

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Healthy and infected cocoa pods sampled from various locations in Sabah	46
2	Isolates of epiphytic bacteria present on cocoa pods from various locations in Sabah	62
3	Percentage inhibition of radial growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> caused by antagonistic activity of epiphytic bacteria and type of pods sampled	63
4	Identification of bacterial isolates through BIOLOG® Identification System	68
5	Anova Table for the effect of epiphytic bacteria on the inhibition of radial growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at Day 4 using Dual-Culture technique	117
6	Anova Table for the effect of epiphytic bacteria on the inhibition of radial growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at Day 8 using Dual-Culture technique	117
7	Anova Table for the effect of epiphytic bacteria on the inhibition of radial growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at Day 12 using Dual-Culture technique	117
8	Anova Table for the effect of epiphytic bacteria on the inhibition of radial growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at Day 16 using Dual-Culture technique	117
9	Anova Table for the effect of epiphytic bacteria on the inhibition of radial growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at Day 20 using Dual-Culture technique	118
10	Anova Table for the effect of temperature on antagonistic activity between epiphytic bacteria and <i>P. palmivora</i> at 20° C	118
11	Anova Table for the effect of temperature on	118

antagonistic activity between epiphytic bacteria and *P. palmivora* at 25° C

12	Anova Table for the effect of temperature on antagonistic activity between epiphytic bacteria and <i>P. palmivora</i> at 30° C	118
13	Anova Table for the effect of pH on antagonistic activity between epiphytic bacteria and <i>P. palmivora</i> at pH 4 at day 16	119
14	Anova Table for the effect of pH on antagonistic activity between epiphytic bacteria and <i>P. palmivora</i> at pH 5 at day 16	119
15	Anova Table for the effect of pH on antagonistic activity between epiphytic bacteria and <i>P. palmivora</i> at pH 6 at day 16	119
16	Anova Table for the effect of pH on antagonistic activity between epiphytic bacteria and <i>P. palmivora</i> at pH 7 at day 16	119
17	Anova Table for the effect of pH on antagonistic activity between epiphytic bacteria and <i>P. palmivora</i> at pH 8 at day 16	120
18	Anova Table for the effect of pH on antagonistic activity between epiphytic bacteria and <i>P. palmivora</i> at pH 9 at day 16	120
19	Anova Table for the effect of pH on antagonistic activity between epiphytic bacteria and <i>P. palmivora</i> at pH 10 at day 16	120
20	Anova Table for the effect of pH on antagonistic activity between epiphytic bacteria and <i>P. palmivora</i> at pH 11 at day 16	120
21	Anova Table for the effect of epiphytic bacteria on diameter lesion growth of black pod disease on detached pod at Day 2	121
22	Anova Table for the effect of epiphytic bacteria on diameter lesion growth of black pod disease on	121

detached pod at Day 4

23	Anova Table for the effect of epiphytic bacteria on diameter lesion growth of black pod disease on detached pod at Day 6	121
24	Anova Table for the effect of epiphytic bacteria on diameter lesion growth of black pod disease on detached pod at Day 8	121
25	Anova Table for the effect of epiphytic bacteria on diameter lesion growth of black pod disease on detached pod at Day 10	122
26	Anova Table for the effect of epiphytic bacteria on diameter lesion growth of black pod disease on detached pod at Day 12	122
27	Statistical analysis of effect of epiphytic bacteria on diameter lesion growth of black pod disease on detached pod by days	122
28	Anova Table for the volatile effect of epiphytic bacteria on the inhibition of diameter growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at Day 4	122
29	Anova Table for the volatile effect of epiphytic bacteria on the inhibition of diameter growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at Day 8	123
30	Anova Table for the volatile effect of epiphytic bacteria on the inhibition of diameter growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at Day 12	123
31	Anova Table for the volatile effect of epiphytic bacteria on the inhibition of diameter growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at Day 16	123
32	Anova Table for the volatile effect of epiphytic bacteria on the inhibition of diameter growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at Day 20	123
33	Statistical analysis of volatile effect of epiphytic bacteria	124

on the inhibition of diameter growth of *P.palmivora*

34	Anova Table for the effect of antifungal substance (s) produced by epiphytic bacteria on diameter growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at Day 2	124
35	Anova Table for the effect of antifungal substance (s) produced by epiphytic bacteria on diameter growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at Day 4	124
36	Anova Table for the effect of antifungal substance (s) produced by epiphytic bacteria on diameter growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at Day 6	124
37	Anova Table for the effect of antifungal substance (s) produced by epiphytic bacteria on diameter growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at Day 8	125
38	Anova Table for the effect of antifungal substance (s) produced by epiphytic bacteria on diameter growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at Day 18	125
39	Statistical analysis of effect of diffusible metabolites produced by epiphytic bacteria on the diameter growth of <i>P.palmivora</i>	125

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	The technique used for the evaluation of the percentage inhibition of radial growth (PIRG) at inhibition zone on PDA .	49
2	The technique used in the evaluation of the volatile substance produced by antagonistic bacteria to suppress the pathogen growth on PDA.	56
3	Dual culture technique for the evaluation of the effect of diffusible metabolites produced by antagonistic bacteria.	57
4	Effect of radial growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at inhibition zone on antagonistic activity of epiphytic bacteria at day 1 until day 21	66
5	Effect of various temperatures on antagonistic activity of epiphytic bacteria against <i>P. palmivora</i> at day 16	70
6	Effects of various pH on antagonistic activity of epiphytic bacteria on <i>P. palmivora</i> at day 16	76
7	Effect of epiphytic bacteria on diameter of lesion growth of black pod disease on detached pod by days	80
8	Effect of Volatile of epiphytic bacteria on the inhibition of diameter growth of <i>P.palmivora</i>	83
9	Effect of diffusible metabolites produced by epiphytic bacteria on the diameter growth of <i>P.palmivora</i>	86

LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
1	Symptom of black pod disease on mature cocoa pods	27
2	Ten day old culture of <i>P. palmivora</i> isolate P 24 from Tawau on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) selected for this study	60
3	Clear inhibition zone produced by 8 isolates of potential epiphytic bacteria (EB) against <i>P. palmivora</i> (P) at day 20	64
4	Antagonistic activity of epiphytic bacteria (EB) on <i>P. palmivora</i> (P) at 25 ⁰ C, 16 days after inoculation.	72
5	Antagonistic activity of epiphytic bacteria (EB) on <i>P. palmivora</i> (P) at 30 ⁰ C, 16 days after inoculation.	73
6	Cocoa leaves plug from the seedling showing no disease symptom after sprayed with epiphytic bacteria isolates	78
7	Cocoa pods showing different lesion size after treatment with epiphytic bacteria and inoculated with <i>P. palmivora</i>	82
8	Effect of volatile substances produced by epiphytic bacteria on the retardation of diameter growth of <i>P. palmivora</i> at 26 ⁰ C , 20 days after inoculation	84
9	Microscopic observation of <i>P. palmivora</i> mycelium showing retardation of mycelial growth (arrowed) after treatment with epiphytic bacteria	88

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CRD	Completely Randomised Design
HSD	Honestly Significant Difference
MARDI	Malaysian Agriculture Research and Development Institute
SAS	Statistical Analysis System

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Black pod disease management

For many years, black pod caused by *Phytophthora palmivora* (Butler) Butler is the most serious disease of cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) in cocoa producing countries such as Malaysia, Indonesia, Papua New Guinea, Trinidad and Brazil. In some other countries in West Africa such as Ghana, Cote d'Ivoire, Cameroon, Nigeria and Togo the disease is also caused by *Phytophthora megakarya* (Akrofi and Opoku, 2000). This disease is a major limiting factor to successful cocoa production. The occurrence of *P. megakarya* in Ghana has considerably changed the black pod disease situation as pod losses in affected areas ranging from 60 % to 100 % (Akrofi and Opoku, 2000). However, infection of the disease differs from one region to another regions and depends on the management practices. The pathogen affects leaves, shoots, stems, roots, flower cushions, cherelles and pods. Sources of initial inoculum are mycelia, sporangia, zoospores and chlamydospores present in soil, pod piles, mummified pods previously infected, flower cushion, bark of cocoa and shade trees, and bark cankers (Opeke and Lorenz, 1974; Maddison and Griffin, 1981). The pathogen survives as chlamydospores when conditions are adverse.

Conducive conditions for disease development are warm temperature of 15°C to 30°C, high relative humidity of 80% to 100% and high rainfall (Tarjot, 1974;

Wood, 1985) . Gregory (1974) and Mackenzie *et al.* (1983) reported that black pod disease was more prevalent in areas of high rainfall with temperatures between 22-26°C. The management of this disease relies heavily on chemical control which can be costly and labour intensive at times.

Pod infection is considered the most important element related to direct economic losses. Normally, cocoa pods can be infected by the pathogen at any stage of pod development. However most significant economic losses are incurred due to the loss of the immature pods. Currently, there are several methods used in controlling cocoa black pod.

2.1.1 Cultural control

Cultural control is the most effective and economical method for management of the disease. Reducing the quantity of initial inoculum by removing the infected pods is essential before they begin to sporulate. Cultural control which include regular pruning, sanitation practices and frequent removal of infected pods can be effective in reducing the spread of the pathogen, but sometimes it becomes very labor intensive. Pruning the canopy during the low cropping season to increase the sunlight penetration is recommended to reduce the disease incidence. Pruning is also recommended to maintain the height of cocoa trees to facilitate the removal of infected pods. The differences in shade levels on farm have direct barrier on the incidence of black pod disease.

Cook (1978) pointed out that no single control measure has proven completely adequate for control, but a combination of one or more practices can reduce losses to a minimum.

2.1.2 Chemical Control

For over the years, cocoa pod have been sprayed with fungicides to minimize losses from black pod disease, but not always economically . These control procedures have been reviewed (Gregory, 1969 ; Thorold,1975 ; Cook,1978). At present, black pod disease is controlled using fungicides particularly during the wet season and during the main peak crop period when the disease incidence is high. Spraying fungicide reduce the population of the pathogen or to protect the pod from infection by the pathogen. It can be very effective but it is not cost effective and may have hazardous effects on health, environmental safety and may give detrimental effects on non-target organisms.

Currently, available fungicides that are widely used to control black pod are copper based fungicides, metalaxyl and fosetyl-aluminium. Metalaxyl and fosetyl-aluminium are systemic fungicides. They are effective to reduce and suppress the black pod disease and recommended when the infestation of disease is very high (Tey and Lee,1994; Tey and Bong,1990). Systemic fungicides are

effective to reduce and suppress the black pod disease and recommended when the infestation of disease is very high (Tey and Lee, 1994; Tey and Bong, 1990). Systemic fungicides are chemicals that can penetrate plant surface and translocate within the plant. Since systemic fungicides are active inside the plant, they could not be removed by rain or irrigation. Spraying with a copper fungicide is the standard control measure but never completely effective. Copper based fungicide is a contact fungicide. These fungicides can only prevent the disease from spreading but do not kill the pathogen, once it has entered the plant tissues. These chemical also remains on the plant surface for a short duration. The combination with appropriate cultural practices can reduce the level of disease infection.

2.1.3 Use of resistant planting materials

The use of resistant varieties of cocoa is the best way to control the disease . Resistant varieties are not only environment friendly, but also require little additional disease control inputs from farmers. Many promising cocoa varieties with various degrees of resistance to black pod have been reported (Bong *et al*, 1998; Ahmad Kamil and Yahya 2000), but none has been found to be fully resistant to the disease. A few clones such as PBC 123, BR 25 are resistant to the black pod disease(Ahmad Kamil and Yahya, 2000). Some of the clones used are susceptible to moderately tolerant to black pod although most of the clones are fairly

resistant to vascular streak dieback. Estates sector are virtually planted with monoclonal system, composed almost entirely of clone PBC 123. Under rehabilitation cocoa programme conducted by Malaysian Cocoa Board, most of the farmers are recommended to conduct the practice of budding the old cocoa plant with clone PBC 123 and BR 25, which is the best resistant clone to the disease at the moment.

2.1.4 Biological control

Potential biological control agent for the control of the fungal pathogen have been reported. *Pseudomonas fluorescence*, isolated from the cocoa pod has been reported to be antagonistic to *P. palmivora* and was more effective than copper oxide and chlorathalonil (Galindo, 1992). Biological control agents isolated from healthy cocoa pods and infected pod surface (resident antagonists) can interfere with the growth of the pathogen. Epiphytic microorganisms, especially bacteria, are capable of inhibiting the growth of *P. palmivora* (Attafuah, 1965; Tarjot, 1974; Fraiss and Garcia, 1985; Galindo, 1992). These evidence showed that biological control using microorganisms are highly promising for used to control black pod disease. Biological control also offers an environmental friendly approach to the management of cocoa disease and can be incorporated with cultural and physical control and limited chemical usage for effective integrated disease management system. Biological control avoids other problems of

chemical controls such as the development of pathogen resistance to the chemical and it is non-polluting and free of outbreak of secondary pests and diseases.

2.2 Prospects of biological control of plant pathogens

Currently, there is a great deal of interest in developing biological control technologies for disease management. Biological control of diseases on aerial plant parts is an important component of an integrated approach to disease management. Additional research is needed to provide an understanding of the habitat in the phylloplane. Blakeman and Fokkema (1982), reported that there is strong evidence that natural biological control provides protection against many diseases in the field. Resident microorganisms multiply on healthy surfaces without affecting the plant. Resident microorganisms are specific to particular plants. The main microorganisms present on the plant surfaces are bacteria, fungi and yeasts (Blakeman and Fokkema, 1982 ; Cook and Baker, 1983). Sources of nutrients for epiphytic microorganisms and foliar pathogens are pollen, flower parts and other debris that fall on aerial parts (Cook and Baker, 1983). Lopez (1980), found that sugar, amino acids and phenols have been found in leachates from the pod wall of cocoa.

The environment on the aerial parts of the plant is characterized by wide and rapid fluctuations of moisture and temperature. Humidity on the phylloplane is one of the most important factors affecting growth and survival of epiphytic microorganisms like bacteria. To support growth of this epiphytic microorganisms, the surface of aerial plant parts must have a 'film' of water or a relative ambient humidity above 95 %. These conditions are met during rainfall or when water condenses at night and are frequently found in cocoa plantations (Alvim, 1977 ; Dickinson and Preece, 1976).

Microorganisms are exposed to sunlight and ultraviolet radiation. The main micro-climatic components of the plant environment are radiation, wind speed, temperature, humidity and carbon dioxide concentration. These factors are controlled by prevalent macro climatic conditions, crop density and by the shape, size and roughness of the surface of the plant tissue (Baker and Cook, 1974 ; Cook and Baker, 1983). In crops with a high canopy like cocoa, most of the radiation is absorbed by the leaves in the upper strata (Alvim, 1977).